

IMPACTS OF EPA'S CARBON PROPOSAL ON WYOMING

BACKGROUND

- > In 2013, coal provided 89% of Wyoming's electricity, with non-hydro renewables providing 8%, and hydroelectric power, natural gas, and other sources providing the remaining 3%. Wyoming's average electricity price of 7.55 cents/kWh was 25% below the national average. ii
- Currently, coal is responsible for over 27,000 direct and indirect jobs in Wyoming.ⁱⁱⁱ
- ➤ Despite below-average electricity prices, many Wyoming families are struggling with high energy costs. The 102,000 low-income and middle-income families in Wyoming -- 45% of the state's households -- spend 18% of their after-tax income on energy.^{iv} In addition, 28% of Wyoming households receive Social Security.^v Lower income families and Social Security recipients are especially vulnerable to further increases in energy prices.^{vi}
- Wyoming utilities have announced the retirement of four small coal units (totaling 49 MW) due to EPA policies. Nationwide, utilities have announced the retirement or conversion of 381 coal units (totaling 60,104 MW) in 36 states due to EPA policies.^{vii}

EPA'S CARBON PROPOSAL

> In June, EPA proposed its "Clean Power Plan" (CPP) to reduce carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from existing coal-fired and natural gas-fired power plants in 49 states, including Wyoming. EPA plans

to finalize the proposal in June of next year.

- > Under the EPA proposal, Wyoming will be required to reduce the CO₂ emissions rate of its electric generating fleet by 19%. EPA's proposal will force Wyoming to change the way the state generates electricity, reduce the amount of electricity used by Wyoming consumers, and increase the price of electricity.
- > EPA assumed the following in setting Wyoming's emissions rate:
 - The efficiency of existing coal-fired units can be improved by 6%;^{ix}
 - Electricity from coal can be reduced by 1% (this is misleading; see endnote);
 - Electricity from renewable energy sources can be increased by 116%;xi and
 - Wyoming consumers can reduce electricity use by over 10%.xii
- ➤ This year, the Wyoming legislature passed Senate Joint Resolution No. 0001 which supports CO₂ emission standards based on measures that can be implemented at fossil-fueled power plants ("inside the fence" measures). EPA's proposal conflicts with this resolution. In addition, the Governor of Wyoming signed a letter in September stating that EPA does not have the authority to regulate coal plants under section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act.xiii In total, officials from over 30 states, including Wyoming, have expressed opposition to the approach EPA has included in its proposal. Wyoming is also one of 13 states that have joined litigation challenging EPA's proposal.xiv

SERIOUS ECONOMIC AND RELIABILITY IMPACTS

Modeling by NERA Economic Consulting projects that the CPP will cause a 22% increase in electricity prices for Wyoming consumers, with a peak year increase of 26%. Under another scenario (what will happen if Wyoming consumers do not significantly reduce their electricity use), electricity prices in Wyoming could increase by 42%, with a peak year increase of 49%.xv

- Another independent study conducted for the National Mining Association estimates similar impacts, including a peak year wholesale electricity price increase of 28.2% for Wyoming consumers.xvi
- > NERA also projects double digit electricity price increases in 42 other states, as well as nationwide costs averaging \$41 billion to \$73 billion per year. NERA's projections include \$560 billion that consumers nationwide will have to spend to reduce their electricity use. xvii
- ▶ EPA acknowledges that electricity generation from coal will decline by nearly 30% nationwide.xviii NERA also projects that electricity generation from coal will decline by at least 29%. As a result, domestic coal consumption will decline by at least 240 million tons in 2020.xix This will affect demand for Wyoming coal, as the state is the nation's leading coal producer, and its coal is used at power plants in 30 states.xx EPA also estimates that coal prices will decline by as much as 18%.xxi
- > Grid operators and electric utilities in many parts of the country are expressing serious concerns about the threat of EPA's proposal to electric reliability.xxii

NO BENEFITS

- ➤ In 2013 the U.S. electric sector emitted 2.05 billion metric tons of CO₂, representing approximately 4% of global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions. xxiii
- > Analysis based on another EPA rulemaking shows that the climate effects of the EPA proposal are meaningless. For example, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration would be reduced by less than 0.5%;

global average temperature increase would be reduced by less than 2/100^{ths} of a degree Fahrenheit; and sea level rise would be reduced by 1/100th of an inch (the thickness of three sheets of paper).**xiv

> To justify the EPA proposal, its supporters argue that the U.S. must show global leadership in reducing CO₂ emissions. However, other countries are abandoning pledges to reduce emissions or are increasing emissions regardless of their pledges. According to the *Washington Post*, many industrialized countries are not expected to meet their commitments to reduce CO₂ emissions.**xv

November 3, 2014

ⁱ U.S. Energy Information Administration, *Electric Power Monthly*, February 2014.

іі Ibid.

iii National Mining Association, http://www.countoncoal.org/states/.

iv Eugene M. Trisko, Energy Cost Impacts on Wyoming Families, December 2013.

v Ibid.

vi Ibid and The 60 Plus Association, Energy Bills Challenge America's Fixed-Income Seniors, 2014.

 $^{^{}vii}$ ACCCE, Coal Unit Shutdowns as of October 23, 2014. Retirements and conversions are based on public announcements by the coal unit owners.

viii The percentage reduction is relative to emission rates in 2012. The Wyoming emissions rate goal is from Table 8, pages 346 – 348, of EPA's proposal, and 2012 emission rates are found in EPA's *Goal Computation Technical Support Document*, June 2014.

http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-05/documents/20140602tsd-goal-computation.pdf.

ix EPA, GHG Abatement Measures technical support document, June 2014. EPA assumes the heat rate of every coal-fired electric generating unit can be improved by 6%.

^{*} *Ibid.* The assumed reduction in coal generation for Wyoming is based on the assumption that 15% of the theoretical output of the 220 megawatt NGCC facility that is under construction in Wyoming will displace 1% of the coal generation in the state. However, EPA's assumption does not mean that coal generation in Wyoming would decline by only 1% in order to *comply* with the state's emission target. The 1% assumption is used merely to *set* the target.

xi EPA, Technical Support Document (TSD) for the CAA Section 111(d) Emission Guidelines for Existing Power Plants: GHG Abatement Measures, June 2014, Table 4.9.

xii EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis for the Proposed Carbon Pollution Guidelines for Existing Power

Plants and Emission Standards for Modified and Reconstructed Power Plants, June 2014, Table 3.3.

- xiii September, 9, 2014 letter signed by 15 Governors to President Obama.
- xiv Petition for Review, West Virginia v. EPA, Case No 14-1146 (D.C. Cir. filed Aug. 1, 2014); Brief of the States of West Virginia, Alabama, Alaska, Kentucky, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Wyoming as Amici Curiae in Support of the Petitioner, In Re: Murray Energy Corporation v. EPA, Case No. 14-1112, (D.C. Cir. filed June 25, 2014).
- xv NERA Economic Consulting, *Potential Impacts of the EPA Clean Power Plan*. An annual average increase of 22% means that electricity prices are projected to be 22% higher each year, on average, under EPA's proposal than electricity prices would be in the absence of the proposal.
- xvi EPA Clean Power Plan: Costs and Impacts on U.S. Energy Markets, Energy Ventures Analysis, August 2014 http://www.countoncoal.org/states/
- xvii NERA Economic Consulting, Potential Impacts of the EPA Clean Power Plan.
- xviii EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis for the Proposed Carbon Pollution Guidelines for Existing Power Plants and Emission Standards for Modified and Reconstructed Power Plants, June 2014.
- xix NERA Economic Consulting, Potential Impacts of the EPA Clean Power Plan.
- xx EIA, Wyoming State Profile and Energy Estimates, http://www.eia.gov/state/analysis.cfm?sid=WY xxi EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis for the Proposed Carbon Pollution Guidelines for Existing Power Plants and Emission Standards for Modified and Reconstructed Power Plants, June 2014.
- xxii Southwest Power Pool, Grid Reliability and Transmission Buildout Issues, presentation to Arkansas DEQ Stakeholder Meeting, October 1, 2014; Midwest Independent System Operator, Clean Power Plan: MISO Analysis Update for ADEQ/APSC Stakeholder Meeting, October 1, 2014; and American Electric Power, Transmission Challenges with the Clean Power Plan, September 2014.
- xxiii IPCC, Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change: Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; EIA, Monthly Energy Review, February 2014.
- xxiv ACCCE, Climate Effects of EPA's Proposed Carbon Regulations, June 2014.
- xxv Steven Mufson, All over the planet, countries are completely missing their emissions targets, (September 23, 2014) http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/wonkblog/wp/2014/09/23/all-over-the-planet-countries-are-completely-missing-their-emissions-targets/